Eux . 2, iv

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov.

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/626,441	07/23/2003	Stein Lundby	PA693C1	9610	
	7590 02/22/2008 INCORPORATED		EXAMINER		
5775 MOREHO	OUSE DR.		TSE, YOUNG TOI		
SAN DIEGO, O	JA 92121		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2611		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
•			02/22/2008	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

us-docketing@qualcomm.com kascanla@qualcomm.com nanm@qualcomm.com

•		•	•
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	•
	10/626,441	LUNDBY ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit:	:
•	YOUNG T. TSE	2611	
- The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATE 36(a). In no event, however, may a reputification and will expire SIX (6) MONTA 4. cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ly be timely filed. IS from the mailing date of this communic NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status		· •	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 Ja	nuan/ 2008	;; ;;	
	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	1 1 1	s prosecution as to the merit	s is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	** *		. ;
·	m parts quejro; rece eta.		•
Disposition of Claims	•		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-8,10-13 and 21-27</u> is/are pending	• •	•	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.	': 	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			• •
6) Claim(s) <u>24-27</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) <u>1,3-8,10-13 and 21-23</u> is/are objected		· .	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	*; : · · ·	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		the state of the s	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			21(d).
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Delanite undan 25 H.O.O. S.440			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	, , ,		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		eceived in this National Stage	•
application from the International Bureau	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not re	eceived.	
		· ·	
•		*	
Attachment(s)			•
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sur	nmary (PTO-413)	٠.
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/	Mail Date	•
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		rmal Patent Application	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>20080107</u> .	6)		

Art Unit: 2611

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after allowance or after an Office action under *Ex Parte Quayle*, 25 USPQ 74, 453 O.G. 213 (Comm'r Pat. 1935). Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, prosecution in this application has been reopened pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on January 7, 2008 has been entered.

Specification

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: paragraph [0075], line 7, "which the noise" should be "which removes the noise". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

Claims 1, 3-8, 10-13 and 21-27 objected to because of the following informalities:

In claim 1 (line 14), claim 8 (line 12), claim 13 (lines 13-14), and claim 24 (line 11", "the phrase "pilot signal" should be "extracted pilot signal".

In claim 3, lines 2-3, the phrase "wherein said dechannelization unit comprises:" should be deleted since "the delay element) 216 shown in Figure 6 is not part of the

dechannelization unit; line 4, "delay element" should be "a delay element"; and line 5, the phrase "by said time interval" should be deleted since "the time interval" is ½ chip while the time interval of the delay element is one chip.

In claim 4, line 2, the word "adjustor" is misspelled; lines 3 and 4, "said first" should be "said descrambled first"; and line 4, "complex conjugate multiplier" should be "a complex conjugate multiplier".

In claim 10, lines 2 and 3, "sequence" and "said time interval" should be "sequence by a Walsh sequence generator" and "a delay element", respectively.

In claim 21, line 3, the phrase "by said fixed time interval" should be deleted.

In claim 21, line 4, "said orthogonal" should be "a delayed orthogonal".

In claim 22, line 1, "said first" should be "a delayed first".

In line 3 of both claims 23 and 27, the word "fixed" should be deleted.

In claim 25, lines 2 and 3, "sequence" and "said fixed time interval" should be "sequence by a Walsh sequence generator" and "a delay element", respectively.

In claim 25, lines 3-4, "said orthogonal" should be "a delayed orthogonal".

In claim 26, line 2, "said first" should be "a delayed first".

Claims 5-7 are objected to because they depend either directly or indirectly from the independent claim 1.

Claims 11 and 12 objected to because they depend directly from the independent claim 8.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

4. Claims 24-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

The new claims 24-27 recite a computer program product comprising: a computer-readable medium comprising code for causing at least one computer for performing the steps raise the matter of new matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Although the specification described that the microprocessor 130 shown in Figure 4 in the receiver could be used to determine the shape of the fat path and would be adjust the values of delay elements in accordance with the shape of the path grouping. See paragraph [0069]. The specification fails to describe what is the computer program product comprising: a computer-readable medium comprising code for causing at least one computer for performing the steps as recited in new claims 24-27.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 1, 3-8, 10-13 and 21-23 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the objection(s) set forth in this Office action.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Odenwalder relates to a method and apparatus for high data rate CDMA wireless communication.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to YOUNG T. TSE whose telephone number is (571) 272-3051. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mohammad H. Ghayour can be reached on (571) 272-3021. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2611